

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

FCC 11-13

In the Matter of)	
)	
Connect America Fund)	WC Docket No. 10-90
)	
A National Broadband Plan for Our Future)	GN Docket No. 09-51
)	
Establishing Just and Reasonable Rates for Local Exchange Carriers)	WC Docket No. 07-135
)	
High-Cost Universal Service Support)	WC Docket No. 05-337
)	
Developing an Unified Intercarrier Compensation Regime)	CC Docket No. 01-92
)	
Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service)	CC Docket No. 96-45
)	
Lifeline and Link-Up)	WC Docket No. 03-109

To: Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, DC 20554

Comment Filed by: Michelle Bubnis
P.O. Box 99
Green Bank WV 24944

Date filed: May 22, 2011

4/17/11 U)

To Whom it May Concern,

I would like to comment on the
FCC proposal - Developing an Unified
Intercarrier Compensation - FCC-2011-0078-
0001.

1) First I believe that landlines provide an invaluable service. I currently suffer from a medical impairment in which I can not use wireless devices. In the past it was known as radio wave sickness and existed among those working around radar, however it is currently becoming more common in the general population and is now known by the term electrosensitivity. I suffer from shortness of breath and palpitations (my heart races uncontrollably when around excessive EMF exposures). I also have severe burning in my face, especially the lower left jaw where I held my cell phone for many years.

2) I rely solely on landlines and the U.S. Postal service for my communication needs. To deny my access to landline telephone service would deny my access to any assistance in times of emergency. Landlines are reliable ~~are reliable~~ especially during natural disasters and power outages. Even cell phone companies rely on landline systems in such times to complete calls, route calls and connect their towers to the larger network.

3) As the FCC has stated, it isn't able to determine the safety standards for exposure to radiofrequency (RF) radiation. I am an example of what can happen to a person who was employed in a profession where exposure to electromagnetic frequency was required. Each piece of electronic and

wireless equipment" was within the FCC safety limits, but when four or five of these devices were operating together the cumulative exposure destroyed my health.

4) If the 98% National Wireless coverage proposal by President Obama is implemented, where will the 2% wired areas be located in the United States? Where are the people with electrical hypersensitivity going to live? How will the government protect them? How many more illnesses are coming down the pipeline?

5) I am requesting that all EMF studies prior to 1981 be reviewed before the compromises of telephone landlines be considered.

Please see:

- Biological Effects of Electromagnetic Radiation (Radio waves and Microwaves) - Eurasian Communist Countries (U)

Authors: Mr. Ronald L. Adams

Dr. R. A. Williams

March 1976

This is a department of defense intelligence document prepared by the U.S. Army Medical Intelligence and Information Agency.

- Index of Publications on Biological Effects of Electromagnetic Radiation (0-100 GHz)

Edited by: James B Kim and Elliot Postow

EPA-600/9-81-011 March 1981

There is an additional information to be found in the report published in September 1984

"The Biological Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation"

EPA-600/8-83-026 Final Report. Please review

section 5.1.3 Effects on Single Cells and section 6.1 Major Conclusions and Generalizations #6.

Yes, there are more current reviews of the literature and the Bioinitiative Report, 2007 will also be helpful.

c) The cost of landline Infrastructure is expensive but these costs will seem minor when the full cost of medical services becomes apparent for an entire generation injured by overexposure to radio frequency radiation

I would appreciate your careful consideration regarding this matter.

Sincerely,
Michelle Bulnis
P.O. Box 99
Greenbank WV 24944